




Directorate of
Intelligence

~~Secret~~

25X1

US-China Relations: Short-Term Prospects

An Intelligence Assessment



~~Secret~~

EA 84-10135
July 1984

Copy **236**

Page Denied



**Directorate of
Intelligence**

Secret

25X1

US-China Relations: Short-Term Prospects

25X1

An Intelligence Assessment

This paper was prepared by [] Office of
East Asian Analysis. Comments and queries are
welcome and may be directed to the Chief, China
Division, OEA, []

25X1

25X1

Secret

*EA 84-10135
July 1984*

Secret

25X1

**US-China Relations:
Short-Term Prospects**

25X1

Key Judgments

*Information available
as of 15 June 1984
was used in this report.*

Beijing since the spring of 1983 has begun implementing a basic decision to improve relations with the United States. Chinese leaders—who are acutely conscious of China's relative military weakness and absorbed by China's ambitious modernization program—want to retain the United States as a strategic counterweight to the USSR and gain greater access to US technological and economic resources.

We believe Beijing will subordinate criticism of US policy toward Taiwan and other bilateral issues at least through the end of 1984 in order to build a more stable, working relationship with the Reagan administration. Beijing intends—in our view—to build on the positive atmosphere developed during the visits of Premier Zhao and President Reagan and will probably work to prevent US-China relations from becoming entangled in the US election campaign.

There are limits to Beijing's ability to expand relations, however. Beijing does not want to undermine its ability to manage the Soviet threat through negotiations or to pursue expanded ties with the Third World and touchy associates, such as North Korea, by identifying too closely with Washington. As China does improve relations—especially military relations—with the United States, it will take even greater care to preserve the appearance of “independence” in its foreign policy.

During the next six months, we believe China will gradually attempt to strengthen security ties with the United States by:

- Continuing steps toward the purchase of defensive weapon systems.
- Broadening discussions of strategic issues.

China will continue to press for a greater US role in economic modernization through increased US investment in Chinese enterprises, greater access to US technology, and an end to alleged US trade “discrimination” against China. As the United States and China broaden their economic ties, however, Chinese expectations may exceed the ability of the United States to fulfill them. The Chinese may express their disappointment publicly. We expect the Chinese to press for US ratification of the Nuclear Cooperation Agreement, for instance, and to react—sometimes harshly—to Congressional statements doubting Chinese promises on nuclear proliferation. Nonetheless, we do not believe that the Chinese will risk damage to the overall relationship by pursuing the issue too aggressively.

Secret

EA 84-10135
July 1984

Secret

25X1

On Taiwan, China has taken a less aggressive position and, we believe, will continue to insist only that the United States adhere to the Joint Communiques and accelerated reduction of US arms sales to Taiwan. Beijing will nevertheless remain particularly sensitive to signs that Washington is improving the quality or quantity of arms sales—directly or through third countries—and to remarks by US leaders on policy toward Taiwan that China interprets as violating the US commitment to a one-China policy. We believe that China will publicly protest any US arms sales to Taiwan, though in a relatively low-key manner.

We believe that internal Chinese political factors will play only a minor role in US-China relations in the next six months. Some senior leaders apparently do not share Deng Xiaoping's enthusiasm for relations with the United States, but we have no evidence of significant opposition.

Although Chinese leaders will be constrained by the existing strategic situation, the death of Deng Xiaoping would probably lead to a period of less activity in bilateral relations while a new leadership begins to assert itself.

Over the longer term, a fundamental shift in international pressures on China or altered domestic political and economic priorities would almost certainly prompt China to review its approach to the United States. Even so, we believe that, as long as China remains primarily committed to economic modernization and faces an expanding Soviet threat, Beijing's need for a security tie to Washington will remain.

25X1

Secret

Secret

25X1

**US-China Relations:
Short-Term Prospects**

25X1

After three years of strongly pressing the Reagan administration for concessions on Taiwan and other matters, Chinese leaders have made a basic decision to improve relations with the United States. Beijing has elected to subordinate criticism of US policy toward Taiwan and other divisive issues in the interest of building a more stable, working relationship—including improved economic and military ties. For at least the short term—through the end of 1984—we believe the Chinese intend to build on the positive atmosphere developed during the last year and will work to prevent US-China relations from becoming entangled in the US election campaign.

Beijing has changed tactics—in our view—primarily because it feared that further decline in US-China relations would undermine Chinese security and diplomatic leverage in the face of the continuing Soviet military buildup. Chinese leaders are well aware of China's relative military weakness and the need for time to complete military modernization. They therefore want to retain the United States as a strategic counterweight and to cautiously increase military ties. China's long-term need for US and Western economic and technical assistance provides them with additional incentives to seek a closer US connection.

Chinese leaders also believe they established better personal relations with President Reagan and key members of his administration during the visits of the President and Premier Zhao.

Premier Zhao was particularly pleased by the reception he received in Washington—and regarded it as a significant indication of the renewed importance the administration attaches to relations with China. Chinese leaders indicated after the President's trip in April that they believed it had been a success and that the President had reassured them on the US position on sensitive issues such as Taiwan.

Chinese Expectations

During the next six months, we believe China will gradually attempt to strengthen its security ties with the United States while striving not to compromise

the appearance of “independence” in its foreign policy. We expect the Chinese to take further steps toward the purchase of US military technology and weapon systems—following the June 1984 visit of Defense Minister Zhang Aiping and during succeeding visits by military delegations—and to broaden their discussions of strategic issues with US policy-makers. The Chinese will almost certainly take advantage of the visits of senior US military officials, such as General Vessey, to do so. Beijing probably intends to consult the US increasingly on matters of mutual strategic interest—such as arms reduction negotiations or Southeast Asia.

Publicly, we expect that China will underline for Moscow its evolving security cooperation with the United States but will continue to preserve an air of ambiguity about the relationship—in order not to precipitate overly hostile Soviet reaction nor to alienate friends and associates in the Third World and elsewhere.¹ While sending Defense Minister Zhang Aiping to the United States in June, for example, Beijing indicated its intention to send Vice Foreign Minister Qian Qichen to Moscow for talks and had Premier Zhao publicly express China's support for closer ties with Europe.

Chinese leaders have indicated they will continue to urge the United States to provide greater long-term assistance for their ambitious economic modernization program. Beijing continues to stress its interest in increased US investment in Chinese enterprises, greater access to advanced US technology, concessional financing for joint ventures and industrial projects, increased funding from international financial organizations in which the United States plays a prominent role, and an end to alleged US trade

¹ Beijing also wants to preserve an image of independence in its foreign policy—and avoid overly close identification with Washington—to further its relations with North Korea, East European nations with whom China is seeking closer ties, including Romania, Yugoslavia, and East Germany, and the socialist and Communist parties of Western Europe.

Secret

"discrimination" against China. During the period in question, the Chinese will probably continue to press discreetly but persistently for US action on economic issues raised during the President's visit, such as technology transfer and the amendment of some US trade laws. We expect Beijing to also seek US approval of the Nuclear Cooperation Agreement, but we believe that it is prepared to wait rather than risk a major political controversy over the issue this year.

On Taiwan, Beijing has retreated to a baseline position that asks for US adherence to the joint communiqués and accelerated reduction of arms sales to Taiwan. Beijing will be particularly sensitive to signs that the United States is upgrading the quality or quantity of arms it sells to Taiwan, and to remarks by US leaders on policy toward Taiwan that China interprets as violating the US commitment to a one-China policy.

Taiwan has not been a fractious issue in bilateral relations recently because the United States has not taken actions that require Beijing to react.

China's Concern About Its Strategic Position

Chinese leaders demonstrated concern in early 1983 that their "independent" stance in foreign policy threatened to jeopardize long-term Chinese security and development interests. In the two preceding years, angered by perceived US slights over Taiwan and encouraged by the increasingly strong US response to the Soviets, Beijing had set in abeyance its interest in joining the United States in an anti-Soviet "united front." Chinese leaders instead strongly pressed the United States on bilateral disputes, stressed China's fundamental identity with the Third World, and revived political consultations with the Soviet Union. Beijing was in part exploiting a perceived US need for good relations with China, and Chinese leaders judged that Beijing's new "independence" would increase its leverage within the US-China-USSR strategic triangle.

We believe, however, that by early 1983 Chinese leaders realized they had miscalculated. Talks with the Soviets had failed to slow the pace of the Soviet drive for military and political dominance in East Asia. At the same time, Chinese leaders were met by

US firmness on bilateral issues and were concerned that Washington had downgraded China's strategic value. They were worried in particular that growing US military ties with Japan, South Korea, and ASEAN—without taking Chinese interests into account—could leave China strategically isolated against the USSR in East Asia. Further, according to the Embassy in Beijing, Chinese assessments indicated that the President would win reelection and that the bilateral relationship could continue to be troubled well into the Reagan administration's second term. Thus, we believe, Chinese leaders began to reassess their ties with the United States.

Increased Chinese concerns since then about the growing Soviet military and political threat in East Asia have also pushed China closer to the United States. A recent series of *People's Daily* articles, for instance, detailed the buildup of the Soviet Pacific Fleet, the strengthening of Soviet forces stationed at Cam Ranh Bay, and the expanding deployment of SS-20 intermediate-range missiles in Siberia.

In unusually strident language, the Chinese media recently accused the Soviet Union of supplying massive military and economic aid to Vietnam for "strategic reasons" and stated that unprecedented Soviet-Vietnamese joint naval exercises in the Tonkin Gulf in March were aimed at encouraging Vietnamese "aggression and expansion" against China.

Chinese leaders have concluded that Moscow's intransigence over security disputes with China will probably continue, despite periodic Sino-Soviet talks. Deng Xiaoping told an unofficial US delegation earlier this year that the Soviet leadership has entered a long transitional period during which Moscow will become more "rigid" and even less willing to compromise with China.

Chinese officials noted that the Soviets had warned the Chinese Government they would cancel the visit of First Deputy Premier Arkhipov if the President's visit went well. After the cancellation, a Foreign Ministry official commented that China would not permit the Soviets to

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Secret

Secret

intimidate China in that way. []
[] the growing Soviet threat was enough to dampen the leadership's desire for a "rap-prochement" with Moscow and to underline its interest in ties with the United States, which, unlike the USSR, was not a threat to China. []

During the unprecedented exchange of visits over the past year, the Chinese gradually indicated an increasing interest in reviving strategic ties² with the United States. During the visit of Secretary of Defense Weinberger last September, Chinese leaders began to discuss the purchase of US military technology and weapon systems. Premier Zhao affirmed China's interest during his visit to Washington in January and later during the President's visit to Beijing in April. And Defense Minister Zhang underlined China's intent to purchase specific advanced weapon systems during his June 1984 visit. []

The Chinese also revived a close, detailed dialogue with the United States on Soviet strategy in East Asia and globally. During the President's visit, Chinese leaders pointed to the Soviet threat to China as one of several areas in which US and Chinese strategic interests converge. Following the visit, they attempted publicly to convey the impression that the United States approved Chinese military activity against Vietnam. Nonetheless, China continues to criticize some US actions in the Third World and Europe that it believes permit the Soviets to gain advantage (see inset). []

The Importance of Economic Ties

Chinese leaders regard closer economic ties with the United States as vital to the long-term success of China's ambitious modernization program. As senior policy adviser Huan Xiang indicated last August, China wants continued access to what it believes will be the world leader in high technology for a long time. Chinese leaders also attach broader political significance to building stronger economic ties with the

² By strategic ties, we mean a range of relations with the United States that directly or indirectly strengthen China's position against the Soviet threat. Most concretely, these involve US sale of arms, military equipment, and dual-use technology to China's defense industries. More broadly, they concern bilateral consultations that give China a clearer understanding of the US position toward Moscow, allowing Beijing to more effectively adjust its own approach to the Soviet Union. []

United States:

- To provide an undergirding for bilateral relations during times of political stress.
- To create leverage within the United States.
- To demonstrate tangible benefits from good relations with the United States to skeptics in China. []

Chinese leaders have ceased for now to elevate economic disputes to a level that would sour overall bilateral relations. During the past year, they have sought to emphasize the potential for bilateral economic relations while continuing to press for greater US concessions. Minister of Foreign Economic Relations and Trade Chen Muhua, for instance, told Xinhua news agency in April 1984 that "many obstacles and difficulties" remain in bilateral economic relations. But she also took pains to emphasize the considerable development of bilateral trade, US investment in Chinese industries, and the removal of bureaucratic impediments to further development by both countries. []

Chinese leaders have been cautiously optimistic about the administration's decision to liberalize technology transfer to China. They have publicly hailed the US action as a significant step forward in bilateral relations, although they complain about continuing impediments—most notably involving COCOM. []

Deng Xiaoping underlined the political importance of US economic concessions when he remarked last August that technology transfer is the key to the Chinese determination whether China and the United States are "good friends" or just "ordinary friends," according to the Embassy in Beijing. []

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Renewed Interest in Closer Strategic Ties

Beijing began to hint at its desire for better strategic ties and the amelioration of bilateral disputes in conversations with US officials and other foreign visitors in 1983. In May, the Chinese Ambassador to the United States tied increased US willingness to transfer technology to China to Chinese acceptance of current US policy toward Taiwan. Subsequent US gestures to China over technology transfer, announced during the visit of Commerce Secretary Baldrige in late May, opened the way for the unprecedented series of high-level visits. During the visit of Secretary of Defense Weinberger in September, the Chinese indicated revived interest in US-Chinese military exchanges, dormant for several years because of differences over Taiwan.

A major test of Chinese resolve in seeking closer strategic ties came last November. The leadership met—after two Congressional resolutions appeared to call into question US support for a one-China policy—to decide whether to cancel the visit of Premier Zhao.

Chinese leaders decided that China must improve relations with the United States by sending Premier Zhao—in spite of disagreements over Taiwan policy. Official guidance later justified the decision in general terms by noting that the visit would improve China's position in the triangular relationship and its overall international influence.

Chinese treatment of Premier Zhao's January 1984 visit to Washington and President Reagan's visit to Beijing this spring further defined China's growing interest in developing cooperation on parallel strategic matters. In Washington, Zhao told leaders of Congress that China could not accept "comprehensive strategic cooperation" with the United States but indicated a genuine interest in arms purchases from the United States. At the same time, Zhao noted the "great strategic importance" of US-China relations and termed good bilateral relations "an irresistible trend of history."

In Beijing, despite their censorship of the President's remarks on the Soviet Union, the Chinese underscored the Soviet threat to China and points of

parallel US-China strategic interest. They also tried to convey an impression of US approval for China's escalated military action against Vietnam—including an unprecedented naval exercise in the South China Sea—in April and May 1984.

Beijing timed the action to coincide with the President's visit. The Chinese media highlighted an unusual separate meeting between Chinese Defense Minister Zhang Aiping and military officials in the President's party—at which the Chinese privately informed the Americans of Chinese intentions toward Vietnam, according to the United States military attache. Zhang publicly indicated an interest in a carefully calibrated increase in military ties during a visit to Washington in June.

Despite basic agreement on strategic issues, Chinese leaders continue to place different emphases—publicly and privately—on policy toward INF, the Third World, and other areas. They see the United States overplaying its hand to the ultimate benefit of the USSR. Chinese leaders now argue, for instance, that the United States should attempt to reach an arms control agreement with the Soviets in Europe.

China supported the right of the United States to deploy in the face of the buildup of Soviet nuclear forces in Europe, but believes that US failure to draw the Soviets back to the negotiating table by halting deployments now may provide the Soviets with an opportunity to drive a wedge between the United States and NATO.

the Chinese continue to criticize the United States for its support of "unsinkable aircraft carriers," such as Israel and South Africa, that alienate natural opponents of the Soviets in the Third World—and create opportunities for Soviet meddling. They also publicly oppose the handling of US policy in Central America and the Middle East—despite agreement with the anti-Soviet thrust of US policy there.

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1
25X125X1
25X1
25X1

25X1

25X1
25X1

25X1

25X1

Secret

Premier Zhao meanwhile has repeatedly sought to use the lure of the China market to build a constituency within the US business community and the administration for better relations. Last November, for example, Zhao extolled the merits of greater US investment in Chinese industry to US governors but lamented that expanded trade would not be possible until the US removed various obstacles. In the same vein, while expressing a desire to purchase US equipment, Chinese officials have told US nuclear industry executives that China would have to turn to Europe if the United States was unable to secure ratification of the Nuclear Cooperation Agreement this year. [REDACTED]

Chinese leaders have also explicitly linked US intentions to improve political relations with China to better opportunities for US businessmen to trade with China. Zhao underlined this point while in the United States last January. [REDACTED]

Taiwan

US policy toward Taiwan remains the most contentious issue and is the one issue on which the bilateral relationship would be most likely to falter during the rest of this year. Nonetheless, we believe that Beijing is willing to set aside its more extreme demands on Taiwan for better relations with Washington—at least through the November elections. Beijing will probably claim for domestic consumption that its posture is justified by significant US concessions. [REDACTED]

Beijing's public treatment of US policy toward Taiwan last year was laced with accusations that the United States favored a "one-China, one-Taiwan" policy and continued to violate the August 1982 joint communique. Chinese commentary during this period, however, also included calls for strengthening "mutual trust" and improving relations. And Chinese officials—such as the Ambassador in Washington last May—sometimes hinted that Beijing would be willing to lessen its criticism of US policy toward Taiwan if the United States were to strengthen relations in other areas. [REDACTED]

Since the exchange of visits between Premier Zhao and President Reagan, Beijing's public treatment of US-Taiwan policy has changed markedly. Recent authoritative media commentary has not emphasized past demands, threats, or sharp accusations that the United States is not fulfilling the joint communique. Indeed, the recent comment by a Foreign Ministry spokesman that the United States had violated the quality stipulation of the joint communique by selling C-130 aircraft to Taiwan was mild. Instead, Chinese leaders for the first time have publicly accepted US claims that the United States is reducing arms sales to Taiwan and have urged that the pace of reduction be accelerated. They have not called upon the President to seek the rescission of the Taiwan Relations Act—as they did in the past—but ask simply that it be managed in a low-key way that least harms Chinese interests. [REDACTED]

Privately, Chinese leaders have acknowledged since early this year that they believe that the "basic orientation" of US-China relations is set and that further US concessions on its policy toward Taiwan are unlikely. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] China realized that the United States would not abandon the idea of "one-China, one-Taiwan"—no matter who sits in the White House. [REDACTED] China understands the United States believes that it must continue to supply arms to Taiwan. [REDACTED]

Meanwhile Beijing has launched an invigorated effort to convince Taiwan—and overseas Chinese—that its reunification proposals are reasonable. While in the United States, Premier Zhao appealed to Chinese-American audiences in San Francisco and New York to accept Beijing's efforts toward Taiwan. Beijing has publicly urged Washington not to "interfere" in the process (through stepped-up arms sales, for example) though it has not pressed for US support. [REDACTED]

Secret

Other Political Issues

With the exception of US policy toward Taiwan, the Chinese have shown greatest sensitivity about their status in the bilateral relationship. Zhao Ziyang told the US delegation in February that China resented the alleged US treatment of it as a "junior partner" in bilateral relations and likened some US actions with those of the Soviets toward China during the 1950s. The Chinese indicated during President Reagan's trip that the President had alleviated their concerns on this score. On the President's last full day in Beijing, for instance, Premier Zhao expressed his appreciation at the "spirit of mutual respect and mutual benefit" that the President "repeatedly emphasized" while in China. [redacted]

Nonetheless, despite US assurances, the Chinese continue to cling to residual fears that the United States will use improved bilateral relations to China's disadvantage. [redacted]

[redacted] China had censored the President's remarks in Beijing on the Soviet Union because China did not want to be "used" against the Soviets. And some Chinese officials have suggested that the administration was improving relations, including military sales to China, partly to reduce Chinese complaints about the sale of weapons to Taiwan. [redacted]

Chinese Domestic Politics

We believe that internal Chinese political factors will play a relatively small role in US-China relations over the course of the next six months. Beijing's decision to improve its relations with the United States was based primarily, we believe, on its assessment of China's strategic and development needs. Unlike domestic policy, China's foreign policy is formulated by a small group of senior leaders and is not usually debated beyond the Politburo. China's current policy toward the United States reflects the views of Deng Xiaoping—China's dominant leader and primary strategic thinker. Most other leaders appear to support it, though there may be some disagreement over the pace of development of the relationship. We have no evidence to suggest that particular groups in the leadership have vigorously opposed it. [redacted]

Domestic political arguments, however, could affect the tone of Chinese foreign policy statements or

inflare ongoing bilateral disputes. A *People's Daily* commentary during the height of the spiritual pollution campaign last fall, for instance, contained some strident anti-American overtones. The antiforeign element of the campaign was short lived and was thoroughly discredited by the leadership, however. Although Hu Yaobang's remarks threatening the cancellation of Premier Zhao's trip to the United States were made at the height of the campaign, there is no evidence that domestic political considerations were behind Hu's comments. [redacted]

The strongest supporters of relations with the United States, with the exception of Deng himself, are represented most prominently by Premier Zhao and those most deeply involved in the management of China's modernization program. Senior officers of the Army's General Staff Department and Defense Minister Zhang Aiping also appear to be strong supporters of improved US-China relations, especially the strategic and defense elements. In fact, on the eve of the President's trip to China, Zhang publicly identified himself with emerging US-China defense cooperation—which he dated to the visit of Secretary of Defense Weinberger. [redacted]

Party General Secretary Hu Yaobang has not clearly articulated his views on relations with the United States and probably is still content to follow Deng's lead on foreign policy. Hu is now becoming personally identified with China's strategic opening to the West, especially Japan, however, and is beginning to play a greater role in US-China relations. Although Hu has expressed some ambivalence about the United States in the past and was the chief articulator of China's "independent" foreign policy line, he has increasingly lent his support to the strategic aspects of bilateral relations. He recently told Japanese reporters that he supports Chinese efforts to buy United States military technology. [redacted]

Leaders such as Politburo Standing Committee members Chen Yun and Li Xiannian—who have been critical of United States policy toward Taiwan and other aspects of relations with the United States over

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Secret

the past two years—appear to support China's recent initiatives. Li Xiannian told the President in April that China supports US policy in East Asia and, at the end of the visit, publicly pronounced it a "success."

[redacted]

The occasional opposition of some senior Army and party conservative leaders, like Chen Yun, to relations with the United States stems primarily from their concern with the byproducts of the opening to the West. These leaders worry about the infatuation of Chinese youth with Western ideas and material goods and the consequent deleterious impact on public order and revolutionary purity. Some of them, such as Politburo member Wei Guoqing, have lost key positions from which they could have rallied support against the open-door policy, however. [redacted]

Continuing Irritants

We believe that China will carefully nurture the positive momentum in US-China relations for the rest of this year and will take special care to preserve the strategic basis of its relations with the United States. Chinese leaders will try to confine unanticipated disputes over bilateral issues and to deal with them in a way that will not sour relations as a whole. Nonetheless, there remains a distinct but low-level chance that accumulated friction over US policy on such sensitive issues as Taiwan, Chinese nuclear proliferation policy, or Korea could raise bilateral tensions substantially.

[redacted]

The Chinese remain extraordinarily sensitive to perceived shifts in US policy toward Taiwan. They will probably react strongly to public statements that appear to question the US commitment to a one-China policy, to support Taiwan's independence in any form, or, to a lesser extent, to reaffirm the role of the Taiwan Relations Act in the formation of US-China policy. The Chinese will probably respond more temperately to Congressional statements on these issues—unless the administration is seen as not opposing them vigorously. [redacted]

Similarly, the Chinese would probably react to compelling evidence that the United States is increasing

the quality or quantity of US weapons sold to Taiwan—either directly or through third countries. Although China has formally accepted the US position that it is reducing arms sales to Taiwan in accord with the August 1982 communique, some Foreign Ministry officials dispute the accuracy of US figures, [redacted] Beijing

25X1
25X1
25X1

would probably be quick to seize upon indications that the United States has violated China's interpretation of the August communique—as it did recently with the sale of the C-130 aircraft to Taiwan. The severity of China's reaction would probably be directly related to the extent of US actions and the publicity they receive. [redacted]

25X1

Chinese leaders appear to be concerned that the Korean Peninsula could become an issue in US-China bilateral relations as well. Chinese officials have indicated privately that China has little leverage with the North Koreans and worry that instability on the peninsula could lead to Soviet inroads and an unwanted US-Chinese confrontation there. The Chinese are especially concerned that North Korean leader Kim Il-song could strike agreements with the Soviets leading to greater Soviet involvement on the peninsula—and more intense pressures on the Chinese to woo the North. In this case, China would probably feel constrained to adopt a more publicly anti-US position on Korea, including stronger calls for withdrawal of US troops from South Korea or greater insistence that the United States participate in tripartite talks on Korean reunification. [redacted]

25X1

25X1

The Chinese appear to have had high expectations that the United States would soon ratify the Nuclear Cooperation Agreement that was initialed in Beijing last May. Nonetheless, China remains very sensitive about disclosures—in the media or before Congress—that it may have transferred nuclear technologies to other countries. Should the administration be buffeted by strong Congressional or other criticism of China's nuclear proliferation policy, China may react defensively. We believe, however, that Chinese leaders will want to limit damage done by debate over China's nuclear policy—though they may express their asperity over it to US officials privately or through public statements. [redacted]

25X1

25X1
25X1

Other possible economic irritants include:

- **Technology Transfer:** The Chinese are pressing the United States to remove China from COCOM restrictions, or, at a minimum, to remove additional COCOM restrictions from sensitive US technologies—such as fiber optics communication technology. China hopes to sign a Telecommunications Protocol with the United States in the fall that incorporates some of these wishes. If that effort fails, Beijing probably will moderately protest US reluctance to accommodate Chinese interests.
- **Textiles:** The Chinese are pressing the United States to allow greater imports of textiles and have expressed resentment of the administration's "unreasonable" restrictions. If restrictions on Chinese textiles go up markedly this year, China would certainly protest—though probably also at a low level.

25X1

Although Deng Xiaoping appears to be in good health, his death could also have a significant impact on US-China relations in the near term. If Deng Xiaoping dies within the next six months, an interim leadership—probably led by Party General Secretary Hu Yaobang—would most likely be absorbed by efforts to construct a new leadership and would not be in a position to implement initiatives in foreign affairs. Although a new leadership would continue to be bound by the existing strategic imperatives, it might feel constrained to adopt a more nationalistic posture or to place greater stress on China's foreign policy "independence."

25X1

Secret

Secret